#### The Afterschool Experience – Taking it to the Streets

November 10, 2005

# The Children's Aid Society 21st Century Community Learning Centers

Heléne Clark ActKnowledge

## Research Design

- > 3-year study started September 2004
- Quasi-experimental: CAS/comparison group
- 6 community schools receiving 21<sup>st</sup> CCLC funding
- Theory-driven approach: youth development is precondition to school attendance and achievement

## Youth Development Outcomes:

- Resisting negative peer pressure
- Positive Identity
- Community engagement
- Career and aspirations
- Effective communication
- Decision making
- School engagement

# Outcomes (continued):

- > School attendance from DOE
- State and city reading test scores
- State and city math test scores

## The basic question:

Does participation in the CAS afterschool program make a difference in any of the outcomes?

# Total Sample 5,706 Persons

Grade Level	6 <sup>th</sup> Graders	1718 (30.1%)
	7-8 <sup>th</sup> Graders	3988 (69.9%)
Gender	Boys	2854 (50.0%)
	Girls	2852 (50.0%)
Immigration	US-born	3316 (58.1%)
	Born outside US	2390 (41.9%)
CAS Status	In CAS	1659 ( 29.1% )
	Not In CAS	_4047 (70.9%)

# Survey Sample Fall 2004 (Nov-Jan) 527 Persons

Grade Level	6 <sup>th</sup> Graders	395 (75.0%)
	7-8 <sup>th</sup> Graders	132 (25.0%)
Gender	Boys	271 (51.4%)
	Girls	256 (48.6%)
Immigration	US-born	312 (59.2%)
	Born outside US	215 (40.7%)
CAS Status	In CAS	342 (64.9%)
	Not In CAS	185 (35.1%)

#### School Attendance

Across all schools, attendance was significantly better for CAS participants for the 2004-2005 school year

These findings were consistent within all the six schools

# 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> Grade 2004-05 School Attendance Differences

	2004-05 Attendance
Never in CAS	87.71%
In CAS 2003-04 <u>or</u> 2004-05	92.10%
In CAS 2004-05 <u>only</u>	93.32%
In CAS 2004-05 <u>60% +</u>	94.50%
In CAS 2003-04 and 2004-05	93.73%

# Similar findings for 6<sup>th</sup> grade and for each school

# CAS Far Outperformed in Moving to Proficiency

Students who started at Level 2 were more likely to move to Level 3 if they were in CAS

True for Reading and Math

#### Remediation

Students in CAS after-school did about as well, or slightly less well, than comparison group in moving from Level 1 to Level 2, in both reading and math

#### This makes sense

All six school had an array of after-school programs which focus on test prep.

Our results indicate they are doing their job at least as well as if students attended CAS after-school

(We are not evaluating those programs but this result gives some indication of how they are doing)

#### **Proficient Students**

Students already proficient were significantly more likely to move to Advanced Level if in CAS after-school

(Level 3 to Level 4)

All of these results were STRONGER if the student was in the CAS after-school program for two years instead of one.

# But in thinking towards policy

#### Let's look at the full picture:

- Only 30% of students went up a level in reading
- Only 22% of students went up a level in math

# Meaning?

Programs are working for many students, but is 1 year the right time frame to see level changes?

# "Dosage"

We also found that frequency of attendance in the CAS after-school program predicts increases in reading and math scores

So, students are improving if they attend regularly, but not all show enough to change levels

# 7<sup>th</sup> & 8<sup>th</sup> Grade Spring 2005 Math Score Differences (Performance Level)

	Mean Math Scores
Never in CAS	1.91
In CAS 2003-04 <u>or</u> 2004-05	2.01
In CAS 2004-05 <u>only</u>	2.06
In CAS 2004-05 <u>60% +</u>	2.10
In CAS 2003-04 and 2004-05	2.13

### Youth development outcomes:

Very preliminary

However, as of 2005, kids in CAS were scoring slightly higher on community engagement and career aspirations. CAS participants were significantly less likely to report watching TV or playing video games

Relationships, fun, and skills were important things mentioned by community school staff, after-school staff, and youth participants.

Overwhelmingly positive, but differences by school

There were a few concerns about discipline, aggression, and disrespect

Things worked well if some level of partnership was achieved within the school, even if it wasn't perfect. But often there was a sense of upheaval and "chaos" when changes occurred midstream

Restructuring and SES were recurring themes described as adding to chaos

#### Conclusion

- We have powerful findings that CAS 21<sup>st</sup> CCLC after-school programs are working
- We found they work BEST in getting students to proficiency
- They work BEST the more students attend

But it's ALL FIRST YEAR DATA

#### Contact

Heléne Clark
ActKnowledge
365 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10016

hclark@actknowledge.org 212-817-1906