Assessing Public Health Advocacy Capacity: Lessons from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation's Tobacco Policy Change Program Evaluation

Cheryl Merzel
Andrea Anderson-Hamilton
Catherine Borgman-Arboleda
Helene Clark
Mary Clare Lennon

Presented at the American Public Health Association Annual Meeting, November 9, 2010 Denver, Colorado

Evaluation Team

Andrea Anderson-Hamilton – Anderson
Hamilton Consulting

Catherine Borgman-Arboleda – ActKnowledge
Helene Clark – ActKnowledge
Rachel Kulick – ActKnowledge

Mary Clare Lennon – Graduate Center, CUNY
Cheryl Merzel – Albert Einstein College of
Medicine

Author Disclosures

There are no relationships to disclose

Presentation Objectives

 To identify challenges in evaluating tobacco control advocacy programs

 To identify approaches to dealing with these challenges

 To provide a model for evaluating comprehensive, community-based tobacco control advocacy programs

Background: The Tobacco Policy Change Program

- Funded by RWJF, starting in 2004
- Total of 75 grants
- Currently in 4th round, 11 grantees
- Goal − promote healthier communities & states:
 - Broader advocacy base
 - Stronger partnerships
 - Reduction in disparities
 - More diverse policy infrastructure
 - Policy change

Examples of TPC Advocacy Goals

- Passage of clean indoor air ordinances
- Medicaid coverage of cessation services
- Tobacco tax increases
- Restrictive land use ordinances
- Repeal of state preemption rules

Evaluation Framework

Main evaluation questions:

- Were grantees successful in achieving goals?
- What are main elements of success?
- Does program structure facilitate achievement of goals?
- What is lasting impact of TPC?

Examine program experiences from dual perspectives:

- Advocacy evaluation
- Community partnerships

Important Applications from Advocacy Evaluation

The policy change process:

Key inputs

(e.g., experience, funding, reputation)

Advocacy strategies

(e.g., issues analysis, grass roots organizing, legal action)

Key activities

(e.g., constituency building, issues research, policymaker education, public info campaign)

Important Applications from Advocacy Evaluation, cont.

Advocacy outcomes:

Contextual factors very influential

Contribution not attribution

Importance of interim outcomes

Importance of realistic outcomes

Examples of Advocacy Outcomes

- Increased public awareness of TC issues
- Increased public support for TC
- Constituency growth
- Policymaker support for issue
- Movement through political process
- Adoption of policy
- Implementation of policy
- Enforcement of policy
- Maintenance of policy

Important Applications from Community Partnerships

The partnership process:

- Engagement of marginalized communities
- Partnership building is ongoing
- Partnership stage of development & readiness is important
- Paying attention to factors influencing partnership functioning (e.g., shared goals; governance & decision making)

Applications from Community Partnerships, cont.

Partnership outcomes:

 Sustainability of the partnership & health promotion goals

Community capacity development

Evaluation Challenges

(short list)

- Multiple sites (each w/unique goals, plans, strategies, & activities)
- Changing group of grantees
- Grantee staff/participant turnover
- Evolution in program purpose
- Changes in program activities
- Projects at different stages of experience
- Identifying appropriate outcomes
- Complexity

Dealing with Evaluation Challenges

- Input from funding agency & grantees
- Assumption of multifactorial non-linear model
- Quantitative and qualitative methods
- Site visits and case studies
- Focus on understanding dynamic nature of program and big picture

Design Elements: Triangulation

Multiple sources of data

- Program documents
- In-depth phone interviews
- Online survey
- Site visits

Multiple sources of information

- Grantee organization members
- Other coalition members
- Other TC actors in community
- Funding agency staff
- TA providers

Design Elements: Indicators of Sustainability

- Increased awareness of value of advocacy
- Increased community capacity for advocacy
- Duration of coalition collaboration post-grant
- New and/or strengthened alliances
- Increased community awareness of TC as health equity issue
- Strengthened coalition funding base for advocacy
- Strengthened relationships between traditional TC players and non-traditional/grassroots players
- Increased capacity to address other PH issues

Design Elements: Funding Agency Role

- Origins & history of program
- Program premises
- Program goals & changes over time
- Grantee selection criteria & changes
- Agency role in TA & program implementation

Lessons from TPC Evaluation

- Logic models & evaluation should include program history & funding agency role
- Logic models & evaluation should include a dynamic perspective
- Examine a continuum of outcomes
- Examine capacity building processes
 & outcomes

Advocacy Evaluation Resources

California Endowment

http://www.calendow.org/Article.aspx?
id=3774

Alliance for Justice

http://www.advocacyevaluation.org/

http://www.afj.org/for-nonprofitsfoundations/reco/